

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/943,355	08/31/2001	Satoshi Arakawa	Q66024	8635
7590 02/01/2006			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS PLLC			HANNAHER, CONSTANTINE	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2884	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				a
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/943,355	ARAKAWA, SAT	оѕні
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Constantine Hannaher	2884	
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communic for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress
WHI - Ext afte - If N - Fai An	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MARTHENIST CONTROL OF THE MARTHENIST C	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repunication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  Ily be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 21 November 2005.		
•		b) This action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	e merits is
Disposi	tion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) 1-5,7-13 and 15-20 is/are al Claim(s) 6 and 14 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration. llowed.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9)[	] The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			
	Applicant may not request that any objec			
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including  The oath or declaration is objected to			
	-	by the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John F	10-132.
_	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
а	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a cl	documents have been received. documents have been received in Ap of the priority documents have been re nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this Nationa	l Stage
Attachme				
2) 🔲 Not 3) 🔲 Info	tice of References Cited (PTO-892) tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Fore No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT -	O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/943,355

Art Unit: 2884

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page: 2

2. Claims 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 9 require that the "sheet-shaped erasing light source" be arranged on one side of the "sheet-shaped transparent substrate" of the stimulable phosphor sheet. Claims 3 and 11 require that the "sheet-shaped erasing light source" comprise a "transparent sheet" and light sources at its ends. Therefore, when claims 6 and 14 recite that the "transparent sheet" of the "sheet-shaped erasing light source" acts also as the "sheet-shaped transparent substrate" of the stimulable phosphor sheet, there is a lack a clarity because a thing cannot be on one side of itself, that is, once the erasing light source is the substrate of the stimulable phosphor sheet (as required by claims 6 and 14) it cannot also be an erasing light source on one side of the stimulable phosphor sheet's substrate (as required by claims 1 and 9). Furthermore, once the erasing light source comprises light sources at the ends thereof (as required by claims 3 and 11) and these light sources, being at the edge, are no longer on either side of anything as they are outside the relevant projection of the stimulable phosphor sheet onto the area of the erasing light source, the lack of clarity is amplified.

## Response to Submission(s)

3. The amendment filed November 21, 2005 under 37 CFR 41.50(b)(1) is acknowledged. The Examiner notes that no remand of the proceeding is evident.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,355

Art Unit: 2884

4. Applicant's arguments, see page 10, filed November 21, 2005, with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1, 19, 9, and 20 has been withdrawn.

Page: 3

The Examiner points out that in view of how the embodiment of Fig. 19 is used (see Fig. 14 showing the exposure to radiation 12 of light shielding cover 426) those of ordinary skill in the art have no motivation to specify any particular property of sheet-shaped erasing light source 471 with respect to radiation transmission. The only embodiment in which sheet-shaped erasing light source 471 is in the path of radiation 12 (Fig. 20) has the source behind the stimulable phosphor sheet 422 and thus its radiation transmissivity is moot.

5. Applicant's arguments filed November 21, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to the rejection of claims 6 and 14, the response under 37 CFR 41.50(b)(1) does not include a submission of an amendment of the claims so rejected and does not include a submission of new evidence relating to the claims so rejected. MPEP § 1214.01 states "The 'new evidence' under the rule may be a showing under 37 CFR 1.1.30, 1.131 or 1.132, as may be appropriate." The argument regarding claims 6 and 14 must be treated as a request for rehearing under 37 CFR 41.50(b)(2) and by proceeding in this manner the appellant waives his or her right to further prosecution before the examiner. *In re Greenfield*, 40F.2d 775, 5 USPQ 474 (CCPA 1930). Accordingly, the Examiner makes no further comment on the reply to this rejection.

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page: 4

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Constantine Hannaher whose telephone number is (571) 272-2437. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday with flexible hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov/. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ch

**Primary Examiner**